

Loyola University Chicago Law Journal

Volume 51
Issue 1 *Fall 2019*

Article 2

2019

Introduction to Volume 51

Loyola University Chicago Law Journal

Follow this and additional works at: <https://lawcommons.luc.edu/lucj>

Recommended Citation

Loyola University Chicago Law Journal, *Introduction to Volume 51*, 51 Loy. U. Chi. L. J. ().
Available at: <https://lawcommons.luc.edu/lucj/vol51/iss1/2>

This Prefatory Matter is brought to you for free and open access by LAW eCommons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Loyola University Chicago Law Journal by an authorized editor of LAW eCommons. For more information, please contact law-library@luc.edu.

Introduction to Volume 51

We are excited to present a diverse selection of articles for Volume 51, Issue One, of the *Loyola University Chicago Law Journal*. This compilation represents months of hard work, and we are proud to publish this exciting scholarship. The issue commences with remarks delivered by Diane P. Wood, Chief Judge of the United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit. In her speech, presented at the 19th Annual Loyola Antitrust Colloquium, Judge Wood recommends the revitalization of the essential facilities doctrine to address concentration of economic power in a few large companies.

In our first article, Robert C. Hughes examines the role of the judiciary in democracy, arguing that a government is not democratic merely because it allows for majority rule, it is also democratic because it offers citizens equal opportunities for political participation—including participation through the courts. Timothy Casey explores the value of deviance, or difference, in society and emphasizes the importance of contextualizing deviance and privacy as courts grapple with privacy and technology. Turning to education, Christina Payne-Tsoupros proposes an amendment to a model bill for educational programs for families of deaf and hard of hearing children, arguing the focus should shift to recognize the child as a stakeholder independent from the family.

Next, Daryl Lim discusses the intersection of intellectual property and antitrust, positing that tools like artificial intelligence could lead to improved application of antitrust in the intellectual property context. Finally, we are thrilled to present a student note analyzing whether a *Bivens* remedy can apply when a border patrol agent shoots across the border, killing a Mexican victim on Mexican soil. The Supreme Court granted certiorari to hear *Hernandez v. Mesa* this term, and Gabriella Orozco asserts that the Court should adopt the Ninth Circuit's reasoning and grant a *Bivens* remedy to the victim's family.

The *Law Journal* would like to thank our authors for contributing their incisive and enlightening works to our publication. The Executive Board would also like to thank our diligent staff members, without whom we would be unable to publish such an excellent issue.

Alexandra R. Benigni
Executive Editor, Lead Articles
Loyola University Chicago Law Journal