

2018

Introduction to Issue Two

Loyola University Chicago Law Journal

Follow this and additional works at: <https://lawcommons.luc.edu/lucj>

Recommended Citation

Loyola University Chicago Law Journal, *Introduction to Issue Two*, 50 Loy. U. Chi. L. J. ().
Available at: <https://lawcommons.luc.edu/lucj/vol50/iss2/2>

This Prefatory Matter is brought to you for free and open access by LAW eCommons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Loyola University Chicago Law Journal by an authorized editor of LAW eCommons. For more information, please contact law-library@luc.edu.

Introduction to Issue Two

The *Loyola University Chicago Law Journal* organizes a symposium each spring which seeks to stimulate awareness of and dialogue about relevant and burgeoning legal issues. The *Law Journal* hosted “The Future of Legal Scholarship” symposium on April 6, 2018, and looked introspectively at the world of law journals and academia at large.

Esteemed panelists representing various points on the academic spectrum gathered to consider three main questions. First, what makes a law review article “good”? Second, what is legal scholarship’s purpose? Is it more important to advocate for justice or to educate the public? And third, what are the dangers of measuring success in the academic world, and what biases are perpetuated by continuing the current trend that emphasizes downloads and sheer production?

The first five essays were written by panelists from the Symposium. We believe they will advance discussion surrounding the future of legal scholarship and how to build the next generation of academics. This issue then concludes with two student articles. The first asserts the United States Supreme Court in *Moore v. Texas* properly clarified its Eighth Amendment prohibition on executing intellectually disabled persons. The second examines *Cazorla v. Koch Foods of Mississippi, LLC*, a Fifth Circuit case concerning discoverability of U-visa information in cases of workplace harassment or discrimination, and contends the court should have imposed a total ban on discoverability due to its sensitive nature and high potential for retaliatory use by employer defendants.

The *Law Journal* graciously thanks each of the panelists for their participation in the Symposium and for their contributions to a fruitful and important conversation. Finally, the *Law Journal* thanks everyone who made the Symposium a tremendous success, including the administration at Loyola, and especially Professor Eric Segall and Lawprofblawg for their work in assembling dynamic panels for each part of the Symposium.

I would like to express my own appreciation to the staff members of the *Law Journal* for their hard work on this issue and throughout Volume 50.

Austin C. Holler
Executive Editor, Conference Articles
Loyola University Chicago Law Journal