Annals of Health Law

Volume 24 Issue 2 Summer 2015

Article 1

2015

Table of Contents

Annals of Health Law

Follow this and additional works at: https://lawecommons.luc.edu/annals



Part of the Health Law and Policy Commons

Recommended Citation

Table of Contents, 24 Annals Health L. (2015). Available at: https://lawecommons.luc.edu/annals/vol24/iss2/1

This Prefatory Matter is brought to you for free and open access by LAW eCommons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Annals of Health Law by an authorized editor of LAW eCommons. For more information, please contact law-library@luc.edu.

ANNALS OF HEALTH LAW

THE HEALTH POLICY AND LAW REVIEW OF LOYOLA UNIVERSITY CHICAGO SCHOOL OF LAW

Beazley Institute for Health Law and Policy

VOLUME 24, ISSUE 2

SUMMER 2015

CONTENTS

CONTENTS	
Foreword	i
Articles	
Red-State Health Reform: Threading The Political Needle Robert B. Leflar	410
Spurred by the Supreme Court's decision in NFIB v. Sebelius, health reform federal flourishing as states experiment with various means of implementing the Affordable Act. Implementation has been slow, however, in most states with political environmentation has been slow, however, in most states with political environmentative to "Obamacare," even though those states have much to gain. Among the states, Arkansas stands out as an exception. This essay relates the story of the Arkansas's innovative health reform initiatives: the "private option" for Medicapansion, extending private health insurance to the state's lower income residents the Arkansas Payment Improvement Initiative, re-orienting provider incentives from wasteful fee-for-service payment structures toward a more cost-effective wasted structure. Early results are promising: the "private option" has resulted to highest percentage increase in insurance coverage of any state, and preliminary transless from the Arkansas Payment Improvement Initiative show cost savings and quimprovements across several categories of health care interventions. The essay explow the two initiatives work, and describes the delicate political process by which state's political and health policy leaders — encompassing Democrats and Republication of opportunities for state-based innovation.	Carements e rea wo of hocara away ealue- n the cking uality blains cans

The Picture Begins to Assert Itself: Rules of Construction for Essential Health Benefits in Health Insurance Plans Subject to the Affordable Care Act

The Affordable Care Act (ACA) is pushing private health insurance to function primarily as a method of financing health care. This shift has the potential to alter the law governing the interpretation of health insurance policies. The requirement that qualified health plans offered in health insurance marketplaces cover Essential Health Benefits (EHB) poses a particular challenge to conventional rules of insurance policy interpretation. The ACA and implementing regulations describe EHB in broad categorical terms, leaving considerable discretion to states and insurers to flesh out benefit coverage ex ante and make coverage determinations ex post. This article explores

which rules of interpretation should apply to EHB coverage. Since the ACA has altered the concept of insurance in the context of health insurance, it stands to reason that insurance law applied to ACA plans should adapt to the ends that the ACA seeks to achieve. The article concludes that courts, insurers, and policyholders would be well served by adopting a functional combination of statutory interpretation and reasonable expectations doctrines — which might be called reasonable statutory expectations — to carry out the regulatory and financing functions of ACA-governed plans. This approach may also move insurance law toward a more principled conception of highly regulated insurance policies. At the very least, a rule of construction based on the legislative purpose of ACA plans is a step toward achieving fairness both across populations and in individual cases.

Employers and Health Insurance Under the Affordable Care Act

The United States has a healthcare system virtually unique in the world in being based largely on voluntary employment-based health insurance (EBHI). In its thrust toward Universal Health Care, the ACA has both "mandated" expansion of employment-based coverage and offered a workable alternative, purchase by individuals on the ACA's Insurance Exchanges. This article traces the history of EBHI in the U.S. and examines the pros and cons of EBHI and the extent to which corporate America and the American public are committed to it. Finally, it projects how employers are likely to respond to the ACA with regard to offering coverage to their employees and their dependents, identifying and explaining the main factors affecting employers' decisions and actions.